arXiv:1511.06257v2 [math.FA] 2 Apr 2016

FACTORIZATIONS AND SINGULAR VALUE ESTIMATES OF OPERATORS WITH GELFAND-SHILOV AND PILIPOVIĆ KERNELS

YUANYUAN CHEN, MICHAEL SIGNAHL, AND JOACHIM TOFT

Abstract. We prove that any linear operator with kernel in a Pilipović or Gelfand-Shilov space can be factorized by two operators in the same class. We also give links on numerical approximations for such compositions. We apply these composition rules to deduce estimates of singular values and establish Schatten-von Neumann properties for such operators.

0. Introduction

The singular values and their decays are strongly related to possibilities of obtaining suitable finite rank approximations of the operators. For a linear and compact operator which acts between Hilbert spaces, the singular values are the eigenvalues in decreasing order of the modulus of the operator. If more generally, the linear operator T is continuous from the quasi-Banach space $\mathscr{B}_1$ to (another) quasi-Banach space $\mathscr{B}_2$, then the singular value of order $j \geq 1$ is given by

$$\sigma_j(T) = \sigma_j(T, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \equiv \inf \|T - T_0\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2}, \tag{0.1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear operators T_0 from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$ of rank at most $j-1$. (See Section 1 for notations.) It follows that T is compact, if and only if $\sigma_j(T)$ decreases to zero as j tends to infinity, or equivalently, T can be approximated by finite rank operators with arbitrarily small errors.

In this paper we deduce estimates of $\sigma_j(T)$ when $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ stays between small test function spaces, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and their (large) duals. The spaces $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ depend on the parameter $s \geq 0$ and are obtained by imposing certain exponential type estimates on the Hermite coefficients of the Hermite series expansions of the involved functions. More precisely, the set $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$) consists of all

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha h_\alpha$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 47Bxx,15A23, 45P05 secondary: 35S05.

Key words and phrases. matrices, harmonic oscillator, Hermite functions, kernel theorems, Schatten-von Neumann operators, singular values.

such that $|c_\alpha| \lesssim e^{-c|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}$ for some (for every) $c > 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ increase with s, and are continuously embedded and dense in $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

In [20] the spaces $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and their duals were characterized in different ways. For example, the images under the Bargmann transform were given, and it was proved that $f \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($f \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$), if and only if f satisfies

$$|H^N f(x)| \lesssim h^N N!^{2s} \tag{0.2}$$

for some $h > 0$ (for every $h > 0$), where $H = H_d$ is the harmonic oscillator $|x|^2 - \Delta$ on $\mathbf{R}^d$. In this context we recall that Pilipović introduced in [14] function spaces whose elements obey estimates of the form (0.2) for certain choices of s. For this reason, we call $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ the Pilipović spaces of Roumieu and Beurling type, respectively, of degree $s \geq 0$ (cf. in [20]).

In [14], it is also proved that $\mathcal{H}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ agree with the Gelfand-Shilov spaces $\mathcal{S}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, respectively, when $s_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_2 > \frac{1}{2}$, while $\mathcal{H}_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is different from the trivial space $\Sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{0\}$. The family of Pilipović spaces therefore contains all Gelfand-Shilov spaces which are invariant under Fourier transformations.

In Section 4 we consider linear operators whose kernels belong to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. We show that the singular values of such operator satisfies the estimate

$$\sigma_k(T, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim e^{-ck^{\frac{1}{2ds}}} \tag{0.3}$$

for some $c > 0$, when $\mathscr{B}_j$ stays between $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and its dual. If the $\mathcal{H}_s$-spaces and their duals are replaced by $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$-spaces and their duals, then we also prove that (0.3) is true for every $c > 0$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H}_s$-spaces and their duals are replaced by Schwartz spaces and their duals, then we prove

$$\sigma_k(T, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-N} \tag{0.4}$$

for every $N \geq 0$, which should be available in the literature.

These singular-value estimates are based on the fact that the operator classes here above possess convenient factorization properties, which are deduced in Section 3. More precisely, an operator class $\mathcal{M}$ is called a *factorization algebra*, if for every $T \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $T = T_1 \circ T_2$. (In [21] the term *decomposition algebra* is used instead of factorization algebra.) Evidently, $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{B})$, the set of continuous linear operators on the quasi-Banach space $\mathscr{B}$ is a factorization algebra, since we may choose T_1 as the identity operator and $T_2 = T$. A more challenging situation appears when $\mathcal{M}$ does not contain the identity operator, and in this situation it is easy to find operator classes which are not factorization algebras. For example, any Schatten-von Neumann class of finite order is not a factorization algebra.

If $\mathscr{B}$ above is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{M}$ is the set of compact operators on $\mathscr{B}$, then it follows by an application of the spectral theorem that $\mathcal{M}$ is a factorization algebra. It is also well-known that the set of linear operators with kernels in the Schwartz space is a factorization algebra (see e. g. [1,9,16,21,22]). Furthemore, similar facts hold true for the set of operators with kernels in a fixed Gelfand-Shilov space (cf. [21]).

In Section 3 we extend the latter property such that all Pilipović spaces are included. That is, we prove that the set of operators with kernels in a fixed (but arbitrarily chosen) Pilipović space is a factorization algebra.

Since the singular values of the operators under considerations either satisfy conditions of the form (0.3) or (0.4) for every $N \geq 0$, it follows that the sequence $\{\sigma_j(T)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to ℓ^p for every $p > 0$. This implies that any such operator is a Schatten-von Neumann operator of degree p for every $p > 0$.

Here we remark that the latter conclusions in the Gelfand-Shilov situation, were deduced in [21] in slight different ways, which enables to replace the quasi-Banach spaces $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ by convenient Hilbert spaces. The main property behind the latter reduction concerns [19, Proposition 3.8], where it is proved that if $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

then there are Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

The Schatten-von Neumann properties are then obtained in straightforward ways by the factorization properties in combination with the exact formulas, for Hilbert-Schmidt norms of operators acting between Hilbert spaces.

Our investigations also include analysis of operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}$, $\mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_\sigma}$, $\sigma > 0$, or their duals. These spaces were carefully investigated in [20] and are defined by imposing conditions of the form $h^{|\alpha|}(\alpha!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}$ on the Hermite coefficients of the involved functions. In [20], these spaces are characterized in different ways. For example, it is here proved that the Bargmann transform is bijective from $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to the set of all entire functions F on $\mathbf{C}^d$ such that

$$|F(z)| \lesssim e^{c|z|^{\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1}}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

In Section 2 we deduce kernel theorems for operators with kernels in these spaces, or related distribution spaces. In Section 3 we show certain factorization properties of operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}$ or in $\mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_\sigma}$. These factorization results are slightly weaker compared to what is deduced for operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ when $s \geq 0$ is real.

Finally we apply these factorization properties in Section 4, to obtain singular value decompositions for operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}$ or in $\mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_\sigma}$. In particular we show that if T is an operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with kernel in $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then the singular values of T satisfy

$$\sigma_j(T) \lesssim h^j (j!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma d}},$$

for some constant $h > 0$.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts. We start by discussing Pilipović spaces and their properties. Thereafter we consider suitable spaces of formal Hermite series expansions, and discuss their links with Pilipović spaces.

1.1. **The Pilipović spaces.** We start to consider spaces which are obtained by suitable estimates of Gelfand-Shilov or Gevrey type when using powers of the harmonic oscillator $H = |x|^2 - \Delta$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Let $h > 0$, $s \ge 0$ and let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}} \equiv \sup_{N \ge 0} \frac{\|H^N f\|_{L^\infty}}{h^N (N!)^{2s}} < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Banach space. If $s > 0$, then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ contains all Hermite functions. Furthermore, if $s = 0$, and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d$ satisfies $2|\alpha| + d \le h$, then $h_\alpha \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

We let

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \equiv \bigcap_{h>0} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \equiv \bigcup_{h>0} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

and equip these spaces by projective and inductive limit topologies, respectively, of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $h > 0$. (Cf. [6, 13, 14, 20].)

In [13, 14], Pilipović proved that if $s_1 \ge \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_2 > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ agree with the Gelfand-Shilov spaces $\mathcal{S}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, respectively. (See e. g. [20] for notations.) On the other hand, $\mathcal{S}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Sigma_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are trivially equal to $\{0\}$ when $s_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, while any Hermite function h_α fulfills (1.1) for every $h > 0$, when $0 < s \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Hence,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{s_1} = \mathcal{S}_{s_1}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s_2} = \Sigma_{s_2}, \qquad s_1 \ge \frac{1}{2}, \qquad s_2 > \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{s_1} \neq \mathcal{S}_{s_1} = \{0\}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s_2} \neq \Sigma_{s_2} = \{0\}, \qquad s_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < s_2 \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

The space $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is called the *Pilipović space (of Beurling type) of order $s \ge 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^d$*. Similarly, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is called the *Pilipović space (of Roumieu type) of order $s \ge 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^d$*.

The dual spaces of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, respectively. We have

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{h>0} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

when $s > 0$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{h>0} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

when $s \ge 0$, with inductive respective projective limit topologies of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'_{h,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $h > 0$ (cf. [20]).

1.2. **Spaces of Hermite series expansions.** Next we recall the definitions of topological vector spaces of Hermite series expansions, given in [20]. As in [20], it is convenient to use the sets $\mathbf{R}_\flat$ and $\overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$ when indexing our spaces.

Definition 1.1. The sets $\mathbf{R}_\flat$ and $\overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$ are given by

$$\mathbf{R}_\flat = \mathbf{R}_+ \bigcup_{\sigma>0} \{\flat_\sigma\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat} = \mathbf{R}_\flat \bigcup \{0\}.$$

Moreover, beside the usual ordering in $\mathbf{R}$, the elements $\flat_\sigma$ in $\mathbf{R}_\flat$ and $\overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$ are ordered by the relations $x_1 < \flat_{\sigma_1} < \flat_{\sigma_2} < x_2$, when $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, $x_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_2 \ge \frac{1}{2}$ are real.

Definition 1.2. Let $p \in (0, \infty]$, $s \in \mathbf{R}_\flat$, $r \in \mathbf{R}$, ϑ be a weight on $\mathbf{N}^d$, and let

$$\vartheta_{r,s}(\alpha) \equiv \begin{cases} e^{r|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}, & \text{when} \quad s \in \mathbf{R}_+, \\ r^{|\alpha|}(\alpha!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}, & \text{when} \quad s = \flat_\sigma, \end{cases} \qquad \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d.$$

Then,

(1) $\ell'_0(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is the set of all sequences $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ on $\mathbf{N}^d$;

(2) $\ell_{0,0}(\mathbf{N}^d) \equiv \{0\}$, and $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is the set of all sequences $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ such that $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for at most finite numbers of α;

(3) $\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is the quasi-Banach space which consists of all sequences $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\|\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d}\|_{\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}} \equiv \|\{c_\alpha\vartheta(\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d}\|_{\ell^p}$$

is finite;

(4) $\ell_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d) \equiv \bigcap_{r>0} \ell^p_{[\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ and $\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^d) \equiv \bigcup_{r>0} \ell^p_{[\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$, with projective respective inductive limit topologies of $\ell^p_{[\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ with respect to $r > 0$;

(5) $\ell'_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d) \equiv \bigcup_{r>0} \ell^p_{[1/\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ and $\ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^d) \equiv \bigcap_{r>0} \ell^p_{[1/\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$, with inductive respective projective limit topologies of $\ell^p_{[1/\vartheta_{r,s}]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ with respect to $r > 0$.

Let $p \in (0, \infty]$, and let Ω_N be the set of all $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d$ such that $|\alpha| \le N$. Then the topology of $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is defined by the inductive limit topology of the sets

$$\big\{ \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} \in \ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^d) \, ; \, c_\alpha = 0 \text{ when } \alpha \neq \Omega_N \big\}$$

with respect to $N \ge 0$, and whose topology is given through the quasi-norms

$$\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} \mapsto \|\{c_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \le N}\|_{\ell^p(\Omega_N)}, \tag{1.2}$$

Since any two quasi-norms on a finite-dimensional vector space are equivalent, it follows that these topologies are independent of p. Furthermore, the topology of $\ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is defined by the quasi-semi-norms (1.2). It follows that $\ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^d)$ is a Fréchet space, and that the topology is independent of p.

Next we introduce spaces of formal Hermite series expansions

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} c_\alpha h_\alpha, \quad \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} \in \ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^d). \tag{1.3}$$

which correspond to

$$\ell_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d), \quad \ell_s(\mathbf{N}^d), \quad \ell^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d), \quad \ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell'_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d). \tag{1.4}$$

For that reason we consider the mappings

$$T : \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d} c_\alpha h_\alpha \tag{1.5}$$

between sequences and formal Hermite series expansions.

Definition 1.3. Let $p \in (0, \infty]$, ϑ be a weight on $\mathbf{N}^d$, and let $s \in \overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$.

- the spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad \mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}'_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) \tag{1.6}$$

  are the images of T in (1.5) under corresponding spaces in (1.4). Furthermore, the topologies of the spaces in (1.6) are inherited from corresponding spaces in (1.4).
- the quasi-norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta]}}$ of $f \in \mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, is given by $\|\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d}\|_{\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}}$, when f is given by (1.3).

By the definitions it follows that the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,t}(\mathbf{R}^d) \\ \subseteq \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}'_{0,t}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) \\ \subseteq \mathcal{H}'_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad \text{when } s, t \in \mathbf{R}_\flat, \ s < t \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

hold true.

Remark 1.4. By the definition it follows that T in (1.5) is a homeomorphism between any of the spaces in (1.4) and corresponding space in (1.6).

The next result shows that the spaces in Definition 1.6 essentially agrees with the Pilipović spaces. We refer to [20] for the proof.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $0 \le s \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Remark 1.6. Let T be given by (1.5). Then

$$\big(\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell^2(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^d)\big) \overset{T}{\to} \big(\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d), L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)\big),\ s \ge 0,$$

$$\big(\ell_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell^2(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell'_{0,s}(\mathbf{N}^d)\big) \overset{T}{\to} \big(\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d), L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{H}'_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)\big),\ s > 0,$$

$$\big(\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell^2(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell^{p'}_{[1/\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d)\big) \overset{T}{\to} \big(\mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^d), L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{H}^{p'}_{[1/\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^d)\big),\ p \in [1,\infty)$$

are isometric bijections between Gelfand triples. (Cf. e. g. Section 2 in [20].)

Finally, in Section 5 we apply the results from the first sections to obtain certain characterizations of operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$.

2. Kernel theorems

In this section we deduce suitable kernel theorems for operators between Pilipović spaces and their duals. Since the spaces under considerations can in convenient ways be formulated in terms of Hermite series expansions, we may easily reduce ourselves to kernel results for matrix operators, in similar ways as in e. g. [15]. We therefore begin with the latter case.

Proposition 2.1. *Let ϑ_k be weight functions on $\mathbf{N}^{d_k}$, $k = 1, 2$, $\vartheta(\alpha,\beta) = \vartheta_1(\beta)^{-1}\vartheta_2(\alpha)$, and let T be a linear and continuous map from $\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$. Then the following is true:*

1. *If $A \in \ell^\infty_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2+d_1})$, then the map $f \mapsto A \cdot f$ from $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell'_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$ extends uniquely to a linear and continuous map from $\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$;*
2. *there is a unique element $A \in \ell^\infty_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2+d_1})$ such that $Tf = A \cdot f$ for every $f \in \ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T\|_{\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1}) \to \ell^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})} = \|A\|_{\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta]}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. The assertion (1) follows by straight-forward estimates and is left for the reader.

(2) Evidently, for some unique

$$A = (a_{\alpha,\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2+d_1}} \in \ell'_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_2+d_1}),$$

$Tf = A \cdot f$ holds for every $f \in \ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$. Moreover, let

$$\Omega_1 = \{\, f_1 \in \ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1}) \,;\, \|f_1\|_{\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}} \le 1 \,\}$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{\, f_2 \in \ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1}) \,;\, \|f_2\|_{\ell^1_{[1/\vartheta_2]}} \le 1 \,\}.$$

Since $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ and $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$ are dense in $\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ and $\ell^1_{[1/\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\|_{\ell^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})\to\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})} &= \sup_{f_1\in\Omega_1}\sup_{f_2\in\Omega_2} |(A\cdot f_1, f_2)_{\ell^2}| \\ &= \sup_{f_1\in\Omega_1} \|A\cdot f_1\|_{\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}} = \sup_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}} |a_{\alpha,\beta}\vartheta_1(\beta)^{-1}\vartheta_2(\alpha)| = \|A\|_{\ell^\infty_{[\vartheta]}},\end{aligned}$$

which gives (2). □

By the links between $\mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta_k]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_k})$ and $\mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, and $\ell^p_{[\vartheta_k]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_k})$ and $\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$, respectively, the previous proposition immediately gives the following. (Cf. Remark 1.6.)

Proposition 2.2. *Let ϑ_k be weight functions on $\mathbf{N}^{d_k}$, $k=1,2$, $\vartheta(\alpha_2,\beta) = \vartheta_1(\beta)^{-1}\vartheta_2(\alpha_2)$, and let T be a linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *If $K\in\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, then the map*

$$f \mapsto \big(x_2 \mapsto \langle K(x_2,\,\cdot\,), f\rangle\big) \tag{2.2}$$

from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ extends uniquely to a linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$;

(2) *there is a unique element $K\in\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ such that*

$$Tf = \big(x_2 \mapsto \langle K(x_2,\,\cdot\,), f\rangle\big) \tag{2.3}$$

for every $f\in\mathcal{H}^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$. Furthermore,

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})\to\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})} = \|K\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty_{[\vartheta]}}. \tag{2.4}$$

We have now the following kernel results.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $s\in\overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$, and let T be the linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, given by (2.2). Then the following is true:*

(1) *if T is a linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, then there is $K\in\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ such that (2.3) holds true;*

(2) *if T is a linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, then there is $K\in\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ such that (2.3) holds true.*

The same holds true if the $\mathcal{H}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}'_s$ spaces are replaced by $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_{0,s}$ spaces, respectively, or by $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}'$ spaces, respectively.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $K\in\mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, $s\in\overline{\mathbf{R}_\flat}$ and let T be the linear and continuous map from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, given by (2.2). Then the following is true:*

(1) *if* $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, *then* T *extends uniquely to linear and continuous mappings from* $\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ *to* $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$;

(2) *if* $K \in \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, *then* T *extends uniquely to linear and continuous mappings from* $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ *to* $\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$.

The same holds true if the $\mathcal{H}_s$ *and* $\mathcal{H}'_s$ *spaces are replaced by* $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}'_{0,s}$ *spaces, respectively, or by* $\mathscr{S}$ *and* $\mathscr{S}'$ *spaces, respectively.*

Proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\vartheta_r(\alpha) = \begin{cases} e^{r|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}, & s \in \mathbf{R}_+ \bigcup \{0\}, \\ r^{|\alpha|}(\alpha!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}, & s = \flat_\sigma, \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma_r(\alpha) = \langle \alpha \rangle^r$. The results follow from Proposition 2.2, and the facts that

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta_r]}, \qquad \mathcal{H}'_{0,s} = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[1/\vartheta_r]}, \quad \mathscr{S}' = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[1/\sigma_r]}$$

with suitable inductive limit topologies, and

$$\mathcal{H}'_s = \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[1/\vartheta_r]}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{0,s} = \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta_r]}, \qquad \mathscr{S} = \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^p_{[\sigma_r]},$$

with suitable inductive and projective limit topologies. □

Evidently, the assertions on $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}'$ in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are well-known. For the other cases, the results are straight-forward consequences of the nuclearity of $\mathcal{H}^1_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ (cf. e. g. [5] or [**?**]).

For completeness we also write down some of the corresponding results in the matrix case. The proofs follow by similar arguments as for the proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.3, and are left for the reader. Here we recall that $\ell_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1}))$ is the set of all matrices $A = (a_{\alpha,\beta})_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2+d_1}}$ such that

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}| \lesssim \langle (\alpha, \beta) \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{for every} \quad N \geq 0$$

and $\ell'_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1}))$ is the set of all such matrices such that

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}| \lesssim \langle (\alpha, \beta) \rangle^{N} \quad \text{for some} \quad N \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $s \geq 0$ *be real and let* T *be the linear and continuous map from* $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ *to* $\ell'_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$ *with matrix* $A \in \ell'_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$. *Then the following is true:*

(1) *if* $A \in \ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$, *then* T *extends uniquely to linear and continuous mappings from* $\ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ *to* $\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$;

(2) *if* $A \in \ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$, *then* T *extends uniquely to linear and continuous mappings from* $\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ *to* $\ell'_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$.

The same holds true if ℓ_s, ℓ_s *and their duals are replaced by* $\ell_{0,s}$, $\ell_{0,s}$ *and their duals, respectively, or by* $\ell_{\mathscr{S}}$ *and* $\ell_{\mathscr{S}}$ *and their duals, respectively.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $s \geq 0$ be real and let T be the linear and continuous map from $\ell_0(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$ with matrix $A \in \ell_0'(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if T is a linear and continuous map from $\ell_s'(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$, then $A \in \ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$;*

(2) *if T is a linear and continuous map from $\ell_s(\mathbf{N}^{d_1})$ to $\ell_s'(\mathbf{N}^{d_2})$, then $A \in \ell_s'(\mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1})$.*

The same holds true if ℓ_s, ℓ_s and their duals are replaced by $\ell_{0,s}$, $\ell_{0,s}$ and their duals, respectively, or by $\ell_{\mathscr{S}}$ and $\ell_{\mathscr{S}}$ and their duals, respectively.

3. Factorizations of Pilipović and Gelfand-Shilov kernels, and pseudo-differential operators

In this section we deduce convenient factorization properties for operators with kernels in Pilipović spaces.

In what follows we use the convention that if T_0 is a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$, and $g \in \mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})$, then $T_0 \otimes g$ is the linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0})$, given by

$$(T_0 \otimes g) \, : \, f \mapsto (T_0 f) \otimes g.$$

In the following definition we recall that an operator T from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is called *positive semi-definite*, if $(Tf, f)_{L^2} \geq 0$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we write $T \geq 0$.

Definition 3.1. Let $d_2 \geq d_1$ and let T be a linear operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$. Then T is said to be a *Hermite diagonal operator* if $T = T_0 \otimes g$, where the Hermite functions are eigenfunctions to T_0, and either $d_2 = d_1$ and $g = 1$, or $d_2 > d_1$ and g is a Hermite function.

Moreover, if $T = T_0 \otimes g$ is on Hermite diagonal form and T_0 is positive semi-definite, then T is said to be a *positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operator*.

The first part of the following result can be found in [1, 22] (see also [9, 16] and the references therein for an elementary proof).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$, T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with the kernel K, and let $d_0 \geq \min(d_1, d_2)$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *If $s \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, then there are operators T_1 and T_2 with kernels $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_1})$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0})$ respectively such that $T = T_2 \circ T_1$. Furthermore, if $j \in \{1, 2\}$ is fixed and $d_0 \geq d_j$, then T_j can be chosen as a positive semi-definte Hermite diagonal operator.*

(2) *If $s > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, then there are operators T_1 and T_2 with kernels $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_1})$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0})$*

respectively such that $T = T_2 \circ T_1$. Furthermore, if $j \in \{1,2\}$ is fixed and $d_0 \geq d_j$, then T_j can be chosen as a positive semi-definte Hermite diagonal operator.

The corresponding result for $s = \flat_\sigma$ reads:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\sigma > 0$, T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with the kernel K. Then the following is true.*

(1) *If $K \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, then there are operators T_0, T_1 and T_2 with kernels $K_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_2})$, respectively, and $T = T_2 \circ T_0 \circ T_1$. Furthermore, T_1 and T_2 can be chosen as positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operators;*

(2) *If $K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, then there are operators T_0, T_1 and T_2 with kernels $K_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_2})$, respectively, and $T = T_2 \circ T_0 \circ T_1$. Furthermore, T_1 and T_2 can be chosen as positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operators.*

Remark 3.4. An operator with kernel in $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is sometimes called a regularizing operator with respect to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$, because it extends uniquely to a continuous map from (the large space) $\mathcal{H}_s'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ into (the small space) $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Analogously, an operator with kernel in $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$) is sometimes called a regularizing operator with respect to $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ($\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First we assume that $d_0 = d_1$, and start to prove (1). Let $h_{d,\alpha}(x)$ be the Hermite function on $\mathbf{R}^d$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d$. Then K posses the expansion

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_1}} a_{\alpha,\beta} h_{d_2,\alpha}(x) h_{d_1,\beta}(y), \tag{3.1}$$

where the coefficients $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |a_{\alpha,\beta} e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}} + |\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| < \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

for some $r > 0$.

Now let $z \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,2}(x,z) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_1}} b_{\alpha,\beta} h_{d_2,\alpha}(x) h_{d_1,\beta}(z), \\ K_{0,1}(z,y) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_1}} c_{\alpha,\beta} h_{d_1,\alpha}(z) h_{d_1,\beta}(y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$b_{\alpha,\beta} = a_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\frac{r}{2}|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} e^{-\frac{r}{2}|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}.$$

Here $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta. Then it follows that

$$\int K_{0,2}(x,z)K_{0,1}(z,y)\,dz = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}} a_{\alpha,\beta}h_{d_2,\alpha}(x)h_{d_1,\beta}(y) = K(x,y).$$

Hence, if T_j is the operator with kernel $K_{0,j}$, $j=1,2$, then $T = T_2\circ T_1$. Furthermore,

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta}|b_{\alpha,\beta}e^{\frac{r}{2}(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| \le \sup_{\alpha,\beta}|a_{\alpha,\beta}e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta}|c_{\alpha,\beta}e^{\frac{r}{4}(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| = \sup_{\alpha}|e^{-\frac{r}{4}|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}e^{\frac{r}{4}|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}| < \infty.$$

This implies that $K_{0,1}\in\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$ and $K_{0,2}\in\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and (1) follows with $K_1 = K_{0,1}$ and $K_2 = K_{0,2}$, in the case $d_0 = d_1$.

In order to prove (2), we assume that $K\in\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and we let $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the same as the above. Then (3.2) holds for any $r>0$, which implies that if $n\ge 0$ is an integer, then

$$\Theta_n \equiv \sup\{\,|\beta|\,;\,|a_{\alpha,\beta}| \ge e^{-2(n+1)(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})} \text{ for some } \alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}\,\} \quad (3.4)$$

is finite.

We let

$$I_1 = \{\,\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}\,;\,|\beta|\le\Theta_1+1\,\}$$

and define inductively

$$I_j = \{\,\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}\setminus I_{j-1}\,;\,|\beta|\le\Theta_j+j\,\},\quad j\ge 2.$$

Then

$$I_j\cap I_k = \emptyset \quad\text{when}\quad j\ne k,\quad\text{and}\quad \bigcup_{j\ge 0} I_j = \mathbf{N}^{d_1},$$

and by the definitions it follows that I_j is a finite set for every j.

We also let $K_{0,1}$ and $K_{0,2}$ be given by (3.3), where

$$b_{\alpha_2,\beta} = a_{\alpha_2,\beta}e^{j|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \quad\text{and}\quad c_{\alpha_1,\beta} = \delta_{\alpha_1,\beta}e^{-j|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}}},$$

when $\alpha_1\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}$, $\alpha_2\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}$ and $\beta\in I_j$. If T_j is the operator with kernel $K_{0,j}$ for $j=1,2$, then it follows that $T_2\circ T_1 = T$. Furthermore, if $r>0$, then we have

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta}|b_{\alpha,\beta}e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| \le J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$J_1 = \sup_{j\le r+1}\sup_{\alpha}\sup_{\beta\in I_j}|b_{\alpha,\beta}e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$J_2 = \sup_{j> r+1}\sup_{\alpha}\sup_{\beta\in I_j}|b_{\alpha,\beta}e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| \quad (3.6)$$

Since only finite numbers of β is involved in the suprema in (3.5), it follows from (3.2) and the definition of $b_{\alpha,\beta}$ that J_1 is finite.

For J_2 we have

$$J_2 = \sup_{j>r+1} \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{\beta\in I_j} |a_{\alpha,\beta} e^{r|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+(r+j)|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}|$$

$$\leq \sup_{j>r+1} \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{\beta\in I_j} |e^{-2j(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})} e^{r|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+(r+j)|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| < \infty,$$

where the first inequality follows from (3.4). Hence

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |b_{\alpha,\beta} e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| < \infty$$

for every $r > 0$, which implies that $K_{0,2} \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$.

If we now replace $b_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $c_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the definition of J_1 and J_2, it follows by similar arguments that both J_1 and J_2 are finite, also in this case. This gives

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{r(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}+|\beta|^{\frac{1}{2s}})}| < \infty$$

for every $r > 0$. Hence $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$, and (2) follows in the case $d_0 = d_1$.

Next assume that $d_0 > d_1$, and let $d = d_0 - d_1 \geq 1$. Then we set

$$K_1(z_0, y) = K_{0,1}(z_1, y)h_{d,0}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad K_2(x, z_0) = K_{0,2}(x, z_1)h_{d,0}(z),$$

where $K_{0,j}$ are the same as in the first part of the proofs, $z_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ and $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$, giving that $z_0 = (z_1, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{d_0}$. We get

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_0}} K_2(x, z_0)K_1(z_0, y)\, dz_0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} K_{0,2}(x, z_1)K_{0,1}(z_1, y)\, dz_1 = K(x, y).$$

The assertion (1) now follows in the case $d_0 > d_1$ from the equivalences

$$K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_1}) \quad \iff \quad K_{0,1} \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$$

and

$$K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0}) \quad \iff \quad K_{0,2} \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1}),$$

Since the same equivalences hold after the $\mathcal{H}_s$ spaces have been replaced by $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ spaces, the assertion (2) also follows in the case $d_0 > d_1$, and the theorem follows in the case $d_0 \geq d_1$.

It remains to prove the result in the case $d_0 \geq d_2$. By taking the adjoint, the rules of $j = 1$ and $j = 2$ are interchanged, and the result follows when $d_0 \geq d_2$ as well. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. (1) We have

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}} a_{\alpha,\beta} h_{d_2,\alpha}(x)h_{d_1,\beta}(y), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |a_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} R^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}| < \infty,$$

for some $R > 1$.

Let $z_j \in \mathbf{R}^{d_j}$, and

$$K_0(z_2, z_1) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}} a_{0,\alpha,\beta} h_{d_2,\alpha}(z_2) h_{d_1,\beta}(z_1), \tag{3.8}$$

$$K_1(z_1, y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}} a_{1,\alpha,\beta} h_{d_1,\alpha}(z_1) h_{d_1,\beta}(y) \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$K_2(x, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}} a_{2,\alpha,\beta} h_{d_2,\alpha}(x) h_{d_2,\beta}(z_2), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$a_{j,\alpha,\beta} = (\alpha!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} R^{2|\alpha|}, \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_j}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and

$$a_{0,\alpha,\beta} = a_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} R^{-2(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2},\ \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_1}.$$

Then it follows that

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1}} K_2(x, z_2) K_0(z_2, z_1) K_1(z_1, y)\, dz_2 dz_1 = K(x, y).$$

Hence, if T_j is the operator with kernel K_j, $j = 0, 1, 2$, then $T = T_2 \circ T_0 \circ T_1$. Furthermore,

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}} R^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}| < \infty, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_j} \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and if $0 < c < \log R$, then

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |a_{0,\alpha,\beta} e^{c(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}| \le \sup_{\alpha,\beta} |R^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} e^{c(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}| < \infty,$$

and (1) follows, in view of ??.

Next we prove (2). Let $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ be as in (3.7). Then

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta} |a_{\alpha,\beta}(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}| < \infty,$$

for every $R > 1$, which implies that

$$\Theta_{1,n} \equiv \sup\{\, |\beta| \,;\, |a_{\alpha,\beta}| \ge (n+1)^{-6(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} (\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2} \,\}$$

and

$$\Theta_{2,n} \equiv \sup\{\, |\alpha| \,;\, |a_{\alpha,\beta}| \ge (n+1)^{-6(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} (\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \text{ for some } \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{d_1} \,\}$$

are finite for every $n \ge 1$ and $j = 1, 2$.

We let

$$I_{j,1} = \{\, \gamma \in \mathbf{N}^{d_j} \,;\, |\gamma| \le \Theta_{j,1} + 1 \,\},$$

and define inductively

$$I_{j,m} = \{\, \gamma \in \mathbf{N}^{d_j} \setminus I_{j,m-1} \,;\, |\gamma| \le \Theta_{j,m} + m \,\}, \quad m \ge 2,\ j = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$I_{j,m} \cap I_{j,n} = \emptyset \quad \text{when} \quad m \neq n, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{m \ge 1} I_{j,m} = \mathbf{N}^{d_j}.$$

and by the definitions it follows that $I_{j,m}$ is a finite set for every m.

We also let K_j, $j = 0, 1, 2$ be given by (3.8)–(3.10), where

$$a_{j,\alpha,\beta} = (\alpha!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} m^{-|\alpha+\beta|}, \qquad \alpha \in I_{j,m}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and

$$a_{0,\alpha,\beta} = a_{\alpha,\beta} (\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} m_2^{2|\alpha|} m_1^{2|\beta|}, \quad \alpha \in I_{2,m_2},\ \beta \in I_{1,m_1}.$$

If T_j is the operator with kernel K_j for $j = 0, 1, 2$, then it follows that $T_2 \circ T_0 \circ T_1 = T$. The result therefore follows if we prove

$$\begin{aligned} &|a_{j,\alpha,\beta}| \lesssim h^{|\alpha+\beta|} (\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma}},\ \forall h > 0,\ j = 1, 2, \quad \text{and} \\ &|a_{0,\alpha,\beta}| \lesssim e^{-c(|\alpha|+|\beta|)},\ \forall c > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and since

$$\bigcup_{m \le R+1} I_{j,m} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{m_1+m_2 \le 2R} I_{2,m_2} \times I_{1,m_1}$$

are finite sets and $R > 1$ is arbitrary, it suffices to prove

$$\sup_{m > R+1} \sup_{\alpha \in I_{j,m}} |(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}} R^{|\alpha+\beta|} a_{j,\alpha,\beta}| < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\sup_{(m_1,m_2) \in M_k} \sup_{\alpha \in I_{2,m_2}} \sup_{\beta \in I_{1,m_1}} |R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} a_{0,\alpha,\beta}| < \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$M_1 = \{\, (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^2 \,;\, m_1 \ge 2R - 1,\ m_2 = 1 \,\}$$

$$M_2 = \{\, (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^2 \,;\, m_2 \ge 2R - 1,\ m_1 = 1 \,\}$$

and

$$M_3 = \{\, (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^2 \,;\, m_1 + m_2 \ge 2R,\ m_1, m_2 \ge 2 \,\}$$

We have

$$\sup_{m>R+1}\sup_{\alpha\in I_{j,m}}|(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}}R^{|\alpha+\beta|}a_{j,\alpha,\beta}|$$

$$=\sup_{m>R+1}\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in I_{j,m}}|\delta_{\alpha,\beta}R^{|\alpha+\beta|}m^{-|\alpha+\beta|}|<\infty,\quad j=1,2,$$

and (3.12) follows.

Next we prove (3.13), and start with the case $k=1$. By definitions we have

$$\alpha\in I_{2,1},\quad \beta\in I_{1,m_1},\quad m_1>2R-1>R>1\quad\text{and}\quad m_2=1.$$

Then

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}|\le m_1^{-6(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}(\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}},$$

which implies

$$|a_{0,\alpha,\beta}|=|a_{\alpha,\beta}|(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}m_1^{2|\beta|}\le m_1^{-4(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{(m_1,m_2)\in M_1}\sup_{\alpha\in I_{2,1}}\sup_{\beta\in I_{1,m_1}}|R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}a_{0,\alpha,\beta}|\le\sup_{m_1>R>1}R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}m_1^{-4(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}<\infty,$$

and (3.13) follows in the case $k=1$.

In the same way, (3.13) follows in the case $k=2$.

Next we prove (3.13) in the case $k=3$. By the definitions it follows that if $\alpha\in I_{2,m_2}$, then

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}|\le m_2^{-6(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}(\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}},\quad\forall\ \beta\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1},$$

and if $\beta\in I_{1,m_1}$, then

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}|\le m_1^{-6(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}(\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}},\quad\forall\ \alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}.$$

Hence, if $\alpha\in I_{2,m_2}$ and $\beta\in I_{1,m_1}$, the geometric mean of the latter inequalities becomes

$$|a_{\alpha,\beta}|\le(m_1m_2)^{-3(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}(\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}},$$

giving that

$$|a_{0,\alpha,\beta}|\le(m_1m_2)^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}.$$

This gives

$$\sup_{(m_1,m_2)\in M_3}\sup_{\alpha\in I_{2,m_2}}\sup_{\beta\in I_{1,m_1}}|R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}a_{0,\alpha,\beta}|$$

$$=\sup_{(m_1,m_2)\in M_3}\sup_{\alpha\in I_{2,m_2}}\sup_{\beta\in I_{1,m_1}}R^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(R(m_1m_2)^{-1})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}<\infty,$$

and (3.13), and thereby (3.11) follow.

By (3.11) it follows that $K_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_2})$. Furthermore, T_1 and T_2 are positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operators by construction. □

Remark 3.5. Let $\sigma > 0$ and $T \geq 0$ be a Hermite diagonal operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with kernel K in $\mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}$. By the proof of Theorem 3.3, Then there are Hermite diagonal operators $T_1 \geq 0$ and $T_2 \geq 0$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with kernels K_1 and K_2 such that

$$K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}), \quad K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \quad \text{and} \quad T = T_1 \circ T_2 = T_2 \circ T_1.$$

In fact, if K is given by (3.7) with $d_1 = d_2 = d$, it suffices to let K_1 and K_2 be given by (3.9) and (3.10), with

$$a_{1,\alpha,\beta} = (a_{\alpha,\beta})^{1/2}(\alpha!\beta!)^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{2,\alpha,\beta} = (a_{\alpha,\beta})^{1/2}(\alpha!\beta!)^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}}.$$

Remark 3.6. From the construction of K_1 and K_2 in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, it follows that it is not so complicated for using numerical methods when obtaining approximations of candidates to K_1 and K_2. In fact, K_1 and K_2 are formed explicitly by the elements of the matrix for T, when the Hermite functions are used as basis for $\mathscr{S}$, $\mathcal{S}_s$ and Σ_s.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and the fact that the map $a \mapsto K_{a,t}$ is continuous and bijective on $\mathcal{S}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and on $\Sigma_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, for every $s_1 \geq 1/2$, $s_2 > 1/2$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let A be a real $d \times d$-matrix, $s_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and let $s_2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following is true:*

1. *if $a \in \mathcal{H}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then there are $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $a = a_1 \#_A a_2$;*
2. *if $a \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s_2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then there are $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s_2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $a = a_1 \#_A a_2$.*

Remark 3.8. Extensions of Theorem 3.7 to the case $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ is not so smooth, because the Pilipović spaces which are not Gelfand-Shilov spaces are not invariant under dilations. However, if A is a real $d \times d$ matrix and $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is such that the kernel

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\mathscr{F}_2^{-1}a)(x - A(x - y), x - y) \tag{3.14}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then we may apply Theorem 3.2 in this situation as well.

Therefore, let $\mathcal{G}_{A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($\mathcal{G}_{0,A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$) be the set of all $a \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that the map in (3.14) belongs to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$). If $a \in \mathcal{G}_{A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($a \in \mathcal{G}_{0,A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$), then there are $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ ($a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{0,A,s}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$) such that $a = a_1 \#_A a_2$.

4. Singular value estimates and Schatten-von Neumann properties for operators with Gelfand-Shilov kernels

In this section we use Theorem 3.2 to obtain estimates of the form (0.3) for operators T with kernels in Pilipović spaces of order s, provided $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ stay between the given Pilipović space and its dual. In particular it follows that any such operator belongs to any Schatten-von Neumann class.

We start by recalling the definition of quasi-Banach spaces. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a vector space. A *quasi-norm* $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $\mathscr{B}$ is a non-negative and real-valued function on $\mathscr{B}$ which is non-degenerate in the sense

$$\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} = 0 \qquad \iff \qquad f = 0, \quad f \in \mathscr{B},$$

and fulfills

$$\|\alpha f\|_{\mathscr{B}} = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}, \qquad\qquad f \in \mathscr{B},\ \alpha \in \mathbf{C}$$

and

$$\|f+g\|_{\mathscr{B}} \le D(\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} + \|g\|_{\mathscr{B}}), \qquad f, g \in \mathscr{B}, \tag{4.1}$$

for some constant $D \ge 1$ which is independent of $f, g \in \mathscr{B}$. Then $\mathscr{B}$ is a topological vector space when the topology for $\mathscr{B}$ is defined by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}}$, and $\mathscr{B}$ is called a quasi-Banach space if $\mathscr{B}$ is complete under this topology.

Let $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ be (quasi-)Banach spaces, and let T be a linear map between $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$. Then recall that the *singular values* of order $j \ge 1$ of T is given by (0.1), where the infimum is taken over all linear operators T_0 from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$ with rank at most $j-1$. Therefore, $\sigma_1(T)$ equals $\|T\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2}$, and $\sigma_k(T)$ are non-negative which decrease with k.

For any $p \in (0, \infty]$, $\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$, the set of Schatten-von Neumann operators of order p is the set of all linear and continuous operators T from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$ such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p} = \|T\|_{\mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)} \equiv \|(\sigma_k(T, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2))_{k=1}^{\infty}\|_{l^p}$$

is finite.

In the following result we show that the singular values for operators T_K with kernels K in Pilipović spaces or Schwartz spaces, obey estimates of the form

$$\sigma_k(T_K, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim e^{-ck^{\frac{1}{2ds}}}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sigma_k(T_K, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim R^k (k!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma d}} \tag{4.3}$$

or

$$\sigma_k(T_K, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim k^{-N}. \tag{4.4}$$

We observe that (5) should be available in the literature.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in (0,\infty]$, $s \geq 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and let $d = \min(d_1, d_2)$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are quasi-Banach spaces such that $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$, then (4.2) holds for some $c > 0$. In particular, $T_K \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$;*

(2) *if $K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are quasi-Banach spaces such that $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$, then (4.2) holds for every $c > 0$. In particular, $T_K \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$;*

(3) *if $K \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are quasi-Banach spaces such that $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$, then (4.3) holds for some $c > 0$. In particular, $T_K \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$;*

(4) *if $K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,\flat_\sigma}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are quasi-Banach spaces such that $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}'_{0,1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$, then (4.3) holds for every $c > 0$. In particular, $T_K \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$;*

(5) *if $K \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1})$, and $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ are quasi-Banach spaces such that $\mathscr{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}_2$, then (4.4) holds for every $N > 0$. In particular, $T_K \in \mathscr{I}_p(\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)$.*

We need some preparations for the proof. First we recall that if $\mathscr{B}_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are quasi-Banach spaces and T_j are linear and continuous mappings from $\mathscr{B}_{j-1}$ to $\mathscr{B}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$\sigma_k(T_2 \circ T_1, \mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B}_2) \leq C\|T_1\|_{\mathscr{B}_0 \to \mathscr{B}_1} \sigma_k(T_2, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\sigma_k(T_2 \circ T_1, \mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B}_2) \leq C\|T_2\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2} \sigma_k(T_1, \mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B}_1). \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, if $\Omega_{j,l}(k)$ is the set of all linear operators from $\mathscr{B}_j$ to $\mathscr{B}_l$ with rank at most $k-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k(T_2 \circ T_1, \mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B}_2) &= \inf_{S \in \Omega_{0,2}(k)} \|T_2 \circ T_1 - S\|_{\mathscr{B}_0 \to \mathscr{B}_2} \\
&\leq \inf_{T_0 \in \Omega_{0,1}(k)} \|T_2 \circ T_1 - T_2 \circ T_0\|_{\mathscr{B}_0 \to \mathscr{B}_2} \\
&\leq C\|T_2\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2} \left(\inf_{T_0 \in \Omega_{0,1}(k)} \|T_1 - T_0\|_{\mathscr{B}_0 \to \mathscr{B}_1} \right) \\
&= C\|T_2\|_{\mathscr{B}_1 \to \mathscr{B}_2} \sigma_k(T_1, \mathscr{B}_0, \mathscr{B}_1),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.6). In the same way (4.5) is obtained. (See also [17].)

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We only prove (1), (3) and (5). The assertions (2) and (4) follow by similar arguments and are left for the reader.

(1) First we prove the result with $d_0 = \max(d_1, d_2)$ in place of d. By Theorem 3.2 we get

$$T_K = T_{K_3} \circ T_{K_2} \circ T_{K_1}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the kernels K_1, K_2 and K_3 of the operators T_{K_1}, T_{K_2} and T_{K_3} belong to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_1})$, $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_0})$ and $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0})$, respectively. Furthermore, we may assume that T_{K_2} is a positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operator.

It follows that T_{K_1} is continuous from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})$, and T_{K_3} is continuous from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$. Hence, by (4.5) and (4.6) it suffices to prove that if $T = T_{K_2}$, then

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(T, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0}), L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})) \le Ce^{-ck^{\frac{1}{2d_0 s}}}. \tag{4.8}$$

By the constructions we have

$$K_2(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_0}} c_\alpha h_\alpha(x)h_\alpha(y) \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$0 \le c_\alpha \lesssim e^{-c|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \tag{4.10}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Furthermore, $\{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_0}}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions with eigenvalues $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^{d_0}}$ of T.

Now let M_{N,d_0} be the number of all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{d_0}$ such that $|\alpha| \le N$. Then $M_{N,d_0} \asymp \langle N\rangle^{d_0}$. Since the singular values are the eigenvalues of T_{K_2} in decreasing order, (4.10) gives

$$\sigma_k(T_{K_2}) \lesssim e^{-cN^{\frac{1}{2s}}}$$

for some $c > 0$ when $M_{N-1,d_0} < k \le M_{N,d_0}$. For such k we also have $k \asymp N^{d_0}$, since $(N-1)^{d_0} \asymp N^{d_0}$. By combining these estimates we get

$$\sigma_k(T_K) \lesssim e^{-cN^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \lesssim e^{-c_0 k^{\frac{1}{2d_0 s}}},$$

for some constant c_0. This gives (4.2) with d_0 in place of d.

It remains to prove that we may replace d_0 by d in our estimates. We consider

$$T_1 = T_K^* \circ T_K \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = T_K \circ T_K^*,$$

which are non-negative and with kernels K_1 and K_2 in $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1+d_1})$ and $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_2})$, respectively. Hence, by the first part of the proof we get

$$\sigma_k(T_1) \lesssim e^{-ck^{\frac{1}{2d_1 s}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(T_2) \lesssim e^{-ck^{\frac{1}{2d_2 s}}}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since

$$\sigma_k(T_1) = \sigma_k(T_2) = \sigma_k(T_K)^2, \tag{4.12}$$

(4.2) now follows from (4.11) and (4.12).

(3) By Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.5, we get

$$T_K = T_{K_{0,2}} \circ T_{K_2} \circ T_{K_0} \circ T_{K_1} \circ T_{K_{0,1}}, \tag{4.13}$$

where the corresponding kernels satisfy

$$K_{0,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_j+d_j}), \quad K_j \in \mathcal{H}_{\flat_{2\sigma}}(\mathbf{R}^{d_j+d_j}), \quad \text{and} \quad K_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_1}),$$

$j = 1, 2$. Furthermore, all kernels except K_0 to the operators in (4.13) are positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operators.

It follows that

$$T_{K_{0,1}} : \mathscr{B}_1 \to L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), \quad T_{K_0} : L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \to L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$$

$$\text{and} \quad T_{K_{0,2}} : L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}) \to \mathscr{B}_2$$

are continuous. By similar arguments as in the proof of (1), we now get

$$\sigma_k(T_{K_j}, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_j}), L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_j})) \lesssim R^k (k!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma d_j}}, \quad j = 2, 4.$$

Hence,

$$\sigma_k(T_K, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2) \lesssim R^k (k!)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma d_j}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

in view of (4.5)–(4.6). This gives (3).

(5) By [1, 9, 16, 22] we get

$$T_K = T_{K_3} \circ T_{K_2} \circ T_{K_1}, \tag{4.14}$$

where the kernels K_1, K_2 and K_3 of the operators T_{K_1}, T_{K_2} and T_{K_3} belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_1})$, $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_0+d_0})$ and $\mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2+d_0})$, respectively. Furthermore, we may assume that T_{K_2} is a positive semi-definite Hermite diagonal operator (cf. e. g. [21]).

It follows that T_{K_1} is continuous from $\mathscr{B}_1$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})$, and T_{K_3} is continuous from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})$ to $\mathscr{B}_2$. Hence, by (4.5) and (4.6) it suffices to prove that for every $N > 0$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(T_{K_2}, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0}), L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_0})) \le Ck^{-N}. \tag{4.15}$$

By the constructions we have K_2 is given by (4.9), where c_α fulfills

$$0 \le c_\alpha \lesssim \langle \alpha \rangle^{-N},$$

for every $N > 0$. By the same arguments as in the first part of the proof we now get

$$\sigma_k \lesssim k^{-\frac{N}{d_0}}$$

for every N, and (5) follows.

Finally, by (4.2)–(4.4) it also follows that $\{\sigma_k(T, \mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2)\}$ belongs to ℓ^p for every $p > 0$. This gives the second parts of (1)–(5). □

5. Discrete characterizations of kernels to smoothing operators

In this section we show that any operators with kernels in Gelfand-Shilov, Pilipović or Schwartz spaces can be characterized by convenient expansions of the form

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_{1,j} \otimes f_{2,j}, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{R}_+, \quad \{f_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathrm{OG}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_k})),\ k = 1, 2. \tag{5.2}$$

Here $\mathrm{OG}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ is the set of all orthogonal sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, i. e., $0 \neq f_j \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and $f_{j_1} \perp f_{j_2}$when $j_1 \neq j_2$. Note that we do *not* require that f_j should be normalized with respect to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

For future references we also let $\mathrm{ON}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ be the set of all orthonormal sequences in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with kernel $K \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $K \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, then (5.1) holds for some sequences in (5.2) such that*

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \left(j^N \lambda_j\right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{j \geq 1} \left(j^N \|x^\alpha D^\beta f_{k,j}\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^{d_k})}\right) < \infty, \tag{5.3}$$

for $k = 1, 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and every $N \geq 0$.

(2) *on the other hand, if instead $K \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and satisfies (5.1) for some $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{R}_+$, and (5.3) holds for $k = 1, 2$ and every $N \geq 1$, then $K \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$.*

The corresponding characterizations of operators with Pilipović kernels are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $s > 0$, $d = \min(d_1, d_2)$ and T be a linear and continuous operator from $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ to $\mathcal{H}_0'(\mathbf{R}^{d_2})$ with kernel $K \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ ($K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$), then (5.1) holds for some sequences in (5.2) such that*

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \left(e^{r \cdot j^{\frac{1}{2ds}}} \lambda_j\right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup \left(\frac{e^{r \cdot j^{\frac{1}{2ds}}} \|H^N f_{k,j}\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^{d_k})}}{h^N (N!)^{2s}} \right) < \infty, \tag{5.4}$$

for $k = 1, 2$ and some $h > 0$ and $r > 0$ (every $h > 0$ and $r > 0$), where the latter supremum is taken over all $j \geq 0$ and $N \geq 0$;

(2) *on the other hand, if instead $K \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ and satisfies (5.1) for some $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{R}_+$, and (5.4) holds for $k = 1, 2$ and some $r > 0$ (every $r > 0$), then $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ ($K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$).*

We need some preparations for the proof. First we observe that $\mathcal{H}_{[\vartheta]}^p$ possess the expected interpolation properties.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\theta \in [0,1]$, ϑ, ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 be weights on $\mathbf{N}^d$, and let $p, p_1, p_2 \in [1,\infty]$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta = \vartheta_1^{1-\theta}\vartheta_2^{\theta}.$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{H}^{p_1}_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mathcal{H}^{p_2}_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{R}^d))_{[\theta]} = \mathcal{H}^{p}_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Proof. The result follows from the fact that the map

$$\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^d} c_\alpha h_\alpha$$

is bijective and isometric from $\ell^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}^p_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and that

$$(\ell^{p_1}_{[\vartheta_1]}(\mathbf{N}^d), \ell^{p_2}_{[\vartheta_2]}(\mathbf{N}^d))_{[\theta]} = \ell^{p}_{[\vartheta]}(\mathbf{N}^d).$$

□

We also need the following result on powers of non-negative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $s \geq 0$, $r > 0$ and let T be a self-adjoint and non-negative operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with kernel K in $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *the kernel of T^r belongs to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d)$;*

(2) *T^r is continuous from $\mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

The same holds true if the $\mathcal{H}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}'_s$ spaces are replaced by $\mathcal{H}_{0,s}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_{0,s}$ spaces, respectively, or by $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}'$ spaces, respectively.

Proof. We only prove the result when $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d)$. The other cases follows by similar arguments and is left for the reader.

Let

$$\Omega = \{\, z \in \mathbf{C} \,;\, 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1 \,\}$$

and $T_0(z) = T^z$ when $z \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then the map $z \mapsto T(z)$ with values in $\mathscr{L}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and analytic on Ω.

Furthermore, by writing $T^z = T^x \circ T^{iy}$ when $z = x+iy$, and using that T^{iy} is bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $y \in \mathbf{R}$, it follows from the assumptions that

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}} \|T_0(iy)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbf{R}} \|T_0(1+iy)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\to \mathcal{H}^2_{[\vartheta_c]}(\mathbf{R}^d)} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{z\in\overline{\Omega}} \|T_0(z)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq \sup_{0\leq x\leq 1} \|T\|^x_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$$

for some $c > 0$, where $\vartheta_c(\alpha) = e^{c|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}$.

It now follows from Lemma 5.3 and Calderon-Lion's interpolation theorem (cf. Theorem IX.20 in [15]) that T^r is continuous from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}^2_{[\vartheta_{rc}]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Hence, by duality it follows that

$$T^r \ : \qquad L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \mathcal{H}^2_{[\vartheta_{rc}]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

and

$$T^r \ : \ \mathcal{H}^2_{[1/\vartheta_{rc}]}(\mathbf{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

are continuous. Hence, by interpolation we obtain that

$$T^r \ : \mathcal{H}^2_{[1/\vartheta_{rc/2}]}(\mathbf{R}^d) \to \mathcal{H}^2_{[\vartheta_{rc/2}]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

is continuous (cf. Remark 1.6). The result now follows from

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^2_{[\vartheta_r]}(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}'_s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{H}^2_{[1/\vartheta_r]}(\mathbf{R}^d). \quad \square$$

We also need the following characterization of Pilipović kernels.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, $\omega \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2d_1})$, and let $s > 0$, $K \in \mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$,*

$$H_1 = |x_1|^2 - \Delta_{x_1}, \quad H_2 = |x_2|^2 - \Delta_{x_2}, \qquad x = (x_2, x_1) \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}.$$

Also let $H = H_2 + H_1$ be the Harmonic oscillator on $\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ *($K \in \mathcal{H}_{0,s}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$);*

(2) *for some $h > 0$ (for every $h > 0$) it holds*

$$\|H^N K\|_{L^2} \lesssim h^N (N!)^{2s}, \qquad N \geq 0, \tag{5.5}$$

(3) *for some $h > 0$ (for every $h > 0$) it holds*

$$\|H_1^{N_1} H_2^{N_2} K\|_{L^2} \lesssim h^{(N_1+N_2)} (N_1! N_2!)^{2s}, \qquad N_1, N_2 \geq 0. \tag{5.6}$$

(4) *for some $h > 0$ (for every $h > 0$) it holds*

$$\|H_1^{N_1} H_2^{N_2} K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \lesssim h^{(N_1+N_2)} (N_1! N_2!)^{2s}, \qquad N_1 \geq N_{0,1}, N_2 \geq N_{0,2}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. The assertion (1) and (2) are equivalent in view of [20, Proposition 4.1]. We prove (2) and (3) are equivalent. Assume that (5.5) holds. Since $K \in \mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, it follws that

$$K = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} c_\alpha(K) h_{\alpha_1} \otimes h_{\alpha_2}, \quad h_{\alpha_j}, \ j = 1, 2$$

and that the Hermite coefficients of K satisfies

$$|c_\alpha(K)| \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{h}|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \in \mathbf{N}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{N}^{d_1}.$$

By parseval's inequality we obtain

$$\|H_1^{N_1}H_2^{N_2}K\|_{L^2}$$

$$\le \left(\sum_{\alpha_1\in\mathbf{N}^{d_1}}\sum_{\alpha_2\in\mathbf{N}^{d_2}}(2|\alpha_1|+d_1)^{N_1}(2|\alpha_2|+d_2)^{N_2}e^{-\frac{1}{h}|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le I_1\cdot I_2,$$

where

$$I_k=\sum_{\alpha_j\in\mathbf{N}^{d_k}}(|\alpha_j|+d_k)^{N_k}e^{-\frac{1}{h_0}|\alpha_j|^{\frac{1}{2s}}}$$

with $h_0=ch$, for some constant $c>0$ which only depends on s.

By Lemma 4.7 in [20] and its proof we get

$$I_k\lesssim(3(4sh_0)^{2s})^{N_k}(N_k!)^{2s}=(3(4sch)^{2s})^{N_k}(N_k!)^{2s},$$

and a combination of these estimates shows that (2) implies (3).

Assume that (5.6) holds instead. Then

$$\|H^NK\|_{L^2}=\|(H_1+H_2)^NK\|_{L^2}\le\sum_{k=0}^N\binom{N}{k}\|H_1^{N-k}H_2^kK\|_{L^2}$$

$$\lesssim h^N\sum_{k=0}^N\binom{N}{k}((N-k)!k!)^{2s}\le h^N(N!)^{2s}\sum_{k=0}^N\binom{N}{k}=(2h)^N(N!)^{2s},$$

and it follows that (3) implies (2).

Now we prove the equvialence between (3) and (4). First we show that

$$\|H_1^NK\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}\lesssim h^N(N!)^{2s},\qquad N\ge N_0 \tag{5.8}$$

is independent on N_0 and ω when $p,q\ge1$. If (5.8) is true for $N_0=0$, then it is also true for $N_0>0$. If $0\le N\le N_0$, $N_1=N_0-N\ge0$ and (5.8) holds for some $N_0\ge0$, then by the fact that

$$H_1^N\,:\,M^{p,q}_{(v_N\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1})\to M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1}), \tag{5.9}$$

with

$$v_N(x_1,\xi_1,x_2,\xi_2)=C(1+|x_1|^2+|\xi_1|^2)^N,$$

is a homeomorphism H_1^N (cf. e. g. [18, Theorem 3.10]), it follows that

$$\|H_1^NK\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}\lesssim\|H_1^{N_0}K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega/v_{N_1})}}\lesssim\|H_1^{N_0}K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}}<\infty,$$

and (5.8) holds for $N_0=0$. This shows that (5.8) is independent of $N_0\ge0$ when $p,q\ge1$.

Let $\omega\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{2d_1})$. Then there exists an integer $N_0\ge0$ such that

$$(v_{N_0})^{-1}\lesssim\omega\lesssim v_{N_0},$$

and then

$$\|K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(1/v_{N_0})}} \lesssim \|K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}} \lesssim \|K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(v_{N_0})}}. \tag{5.10}$$

Hence the stated invariance follows if we prove that (5.8) holds for $\omega = v_{N_0}$, if it is true for $\omega = 1/v_{N_0}$.

Therefore, assume that (5.8) holds for $\omega = 1/v_{N_0}$. If $N \ge 2N_0$, then the bijectivity of (5.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|H_1^N K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(v_{N_0})}}}{h^N (N!)^{2s}} &\lesssim \frac{\|H_1^{N+2N_0} K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(1/v_{N_0})}}}{h^N (N!)^{2s}} \\
&= h^{2N_0} \binom{N+2N_0}{2N_0}^{2s} ((2N_0)!)^{2s} \frac{\|H_1^{N+2N_0} K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(1/v_{N_0})}}}{h^{N+2N_0}((N+2N_0)!)^{2s}} \\
&\asymp \binom{N+2N_0}{2N_0}^{2s} \frac{\|H_1^{N+2N_0} K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(1/v_{N_0})}}}{h^{N+2N_0}((N+2N_0)!)^{2s}} \lesssim \frac{\|H_1^{N+2N_0} K\|_{M^{p,q}_{(1/v_{N_0})}}}{h_1^{N+2N_0}((N+2N_0)!)^{2s}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_1 = \frac{h}{4^s}$. This shows that (5.8) is independent of ω in the case $p, q \ge 1$.

By repeating these arguments, it follows that (5.7) is independent of $N_{0,1}$, $N_{0,2}$, ω and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. For general $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, the invariance of (5.7) with respect to $N_{0,1}$, $N_{0,2}$, ω, p and q, is now a consequence of the embeddings

$$M^\infty_{(v_N \omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \subseteq M^{p,q}_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \subseteq M^\infty_{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$$

when

$$N > \frac{d}{\min(p, q)}$$

(see e. g. [4]).

The equivalence bewteen (3) and (4) now follows from these invariance properties and the fact that

$$L^2 = M^{2,2}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. We only prove Theorem 5.2 and then in the Roumieu case. The other cases follow by similar arguments and are left for the reader.

(1) Assume that $K \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$. By polar decomposition we have

$$K(x_2, x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_{0,j} g_j(x_2) \overline{f_j(x_1)}, \qquad x_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1},\ x_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2},$$

where $\lambda_{0,j} \geq 0$ are the singular values of T, $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathrm{ON}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}))$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathrm{ON}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^{d_2}))$. Now let K_1 and K_2 be the kernels of $T_1 \equiv (T^* \circ T)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $T_2 \equiv (T \circ T^*)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, respectively. Then

$$K_1(x_2, x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_{0,j}}\, f_j(x_2)\overline{f_j(x_1)}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$$

and

$$K_2(x_2, x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_{0,j}}\, g_j(x_2)\overline{g_j(x_1)}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{d_2}.$$

By Theorem 4.1 we get

$$\lambda_{0,j} \lesssim e^{-rj^{\frac{1}{2d}}} \tag{5.11}$$

for some constant $r > 0$.

Since $K_1 \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbf{R}^{d_1})$, Lemma 5.5 gives

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{0,j} \|H^N f_j\|_{L^2}^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|H_1^N H_2^N K_1\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq \|H_1^N H_2^N K_1\|_{M^{1,1}} \leq h^N (N!)^{4s},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}$ is the trace-class norm. Here we have identified operators with their kernels, and used the fact that operators with kernels in $M^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ are of trace-class (cf. [7, 20]). Hence,

$$\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{4}} \|H^N f_j\|_{L^2} \lesssim h_0^N (N!)^{2s},$$

where $h_0 = \sqrt{h}$. Hence, if $f_{1,j} = \lambda_{0,j}^{\frac{1}{3}} f_j$ we obtain

$$\|H^N f_{1,j}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda_{0,j}^{\frac{1}{12}} h_0^N (N!)^{2s} \lesssim e^{-rj^{\frac{1}{2ds}}} h_0^N (N!)^{2s},$$

for some $r > 0$. By considering K_2 instead of K_1 and letting $f_{2,j} = \lambda_{0,j}^{\frac{1}{3}} g_j$, the same computations give

$$\|H^N f_{2,j}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-rj^{\frac{1}{2ds}}} h_0^N (N!)^{2s}$$

as well.

The assertion now follows if we let $\lambda_j = \lambda_{0,j}^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

(2) By the assumptions and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H_1^{N_1}H_2^{N_2}K\|_{L^2} &= \|H_1^{N_1}H_2^{N_2}(\sum \lambda_j f_{1,j}\otimes f_{2,j})\|_{L^2}\\
&= \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \left|\sum \lambda_j H_1^{N_1}f_{1,j}\otimes H_2^{N_2}f_{2,j}\right|^2 dx_1\,dx_2\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{d_2}\times\mathbf{R}^{d_1}} \sum\left|\lambda_j\right|^2 \sum\left|H_1^{N_1}f_{1,j}\otimes H_2^{N_2}f_{2,j}\right|^2 dx_1\,dx_2\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le \sum \lambda_j \left(\sum \|H_1^{N_1}f_{1,j}\|_{L^2}^2\|H_2^{N_2}f_{2,j}\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2}\\
&\lesssim h^{N_1+N_2}(N_1!N_2!)^{2s}\sum e^{-rj^{1/2ds}}\\
&\lesssim h^{N_1+N_2}(N_1!N_2!)^{2s}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] R. Beals *Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 45–57.

[2] J. Chung, S.-Y. Chung, D. Kim *Characterizations of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces via Fourier transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 2101-2108.

[3] E. Cordero, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino, N. Teofanov *Quasianalytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces with applications to localization operators*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **40** (2010), 1123-1147.

[4] Y. V. Galperin, S. Samarah *Time-frequency analysis on modulation spaces* $M_m^{p,q}$, $0 < p, q \le \infty$, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **16** (2004), 1–18.

[5] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov *Generalized functions, I–III*, Academic Press, NewYork London, 1968.

[6] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipović, L. Rodino *Classes of degenerate elliptic operators in Gelfand-Shilov spaces in: L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds) New developments in pseudo-differential operators,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **189**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2009, pp. 15–31.

[7] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces and pseudo-differential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (4) **34** (1999), 439–457.

[8] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I–III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.

[9] Czesław Klis *A simple proof of the identity* $\mathscr{S}\circ\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}$*,* in: Proc. of the Conference on Convergence and Generalized Functions, Katowice 1983, Preprint of the Institute of Math., Polish Academy of Sci., pp. 71-74.

[10] Z. Lozanov-Crvenković, D. Perišić *Kernel theorems for the spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, Integral Transform Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), 699–713.

[11] Z. Lozanov-Crvenković, D. Perišić, M. Tasković *Gelfand-Shilov spaces structural and kernel theorems*, (preprint), arXiv:0706.2268v2.

[12] A. Pietsch *Operator ideals*, Mathematische Monographien, vol 16, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.

[13] S. Pilipovic *Generalization of Zemanian spaces of generalized functions which have orthonormal series expansions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 477-484.

[14] S. Pilipović *Tempered ultradistributions*, Boll. U.M.I. **7** (1988), 235–251.

[15] M. Reed, B. Simon *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, Academic Press, London New York, 1979.

[16] Z. Sadlok *On uniform convergence of Hermite series*, Ann. Polon. Math. **43** (1983), 207–210.

[17] B. Simon *Trace ideals and their applications*, I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge London New York Melbourne, 1979.

[18] M. Signahl, J. Toft *Mapping properties for the Bargmann transform on modulation spaces*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **3** (2012), 1–30.

[19] J. Toft *Multiplication properties in Gelfand-Shilov pseudo-differential calculus* in: S. Molahajlo, S. Pilipović, J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (eds) *Pseudo-Differential Operators, Generalized Functions and Asymptotics,* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, **231**, 2013, pp. 117–172.

[20] J. Toft *Images of function and distribution spaces under the Bargmann transform*, arXiv:1409.5238 (preprint).

[21] J. Toft, A. Khrennikov, B. Nilsson, S. Nordebo *Decompositions of Gelfand-Shilov kernels into kernels of similar class*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), 315–322.

[22] J. Voigt *Factorization in some Fréchet algebras of differentiable functions*, Studia Math. **77** (1984), 333–348.

Department of Mathematics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: yuanyuan.chen@lnu.se

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway
E-mail address: mikael.signal@lnu.se

Department of Mathematics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: joachim.toft@lnu.se